

mains and landmarks are among the few remaining memoirs, indications of a time gone by.

At a time that can be deemed so appropriate I extend a well deserved thanks to the people of Foard County for their personal commitment to the agricultural industry and for their contribution to the preservation of our American heritage.

REMARKS BY COMMISSIONER  
JAMES H. QUELLO

HON. BOB McEWEN

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, March 22, 1991

Mr. McEWEN. Mr. Speaker, I take this opportunity to rise to bring to my colleagues attention a recent speech by James H. Quello, Commissioner of the Federal Communication Commission. Mr. Quello, who served as a battalion commander during the final 2 years of the Second World War with the 180th Infantry Battalion of the famous "Thunderbird" 45th Division in Europe, offers some insightful comments on the national support for Operation Desert Storm. His reflections on the role of the press in wartime are also worth remembering. I would like to have the speech entered in the RECORD at this time.

REMARKS BY COMMISSIONER JAMES H. QUELLO BEFORE THE OKLAHOMA ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS, TULSA, OK, FEBRUARY 8, 1991

"You Can't Be the Land of the Free Without the Home of the Brave.—President George Bush

I'm always glad to visit Oklahoma and Oklahoma broadcasters, particularly at this agonizing time with our nation at war. Oklahoma is the proud home of the famous "Thunderbird" 45th infantry division. Your division was officially designated by U.S. Military Historian, General S.L.A. Marshall, as the best infantry division in Europe during World War II. As some of you older veterans may recall, it was the only infantry division that produced two distinguished Corp. Commanders—Lt. General Troy Middleton and his former artillery division commander, Lt. General James McClean.

As I have reminded everyone I have ever known from Oklahoma, I served in the 180th Infantry Regiment of the 45th Division as an infantry battalion exec and battalion commander during 1944 and 1945. I believe World War II was the last military engagement where a patriotic American public wholeheartedly supported our troops and war efforts. I believe this type of public support is absolutely essential for the effective conduct and successful conclusion of any war—but more about that aspect later. (In the meantime, please notice my lapel pin with the Thunderbird insignia between the American and Oklahoma flags.) Incidentally, Oklahoma Dawson "Tack" Nail, venerable executive editor of Communications Daily and TV Digest, won a bronze star in Korea as an artillery forward observer with the 179th Infantry Regiment of the 45th.

It's also a special pleasure to appear before a state broadcasting association. As many of you know, I don't have to search for any mutuality of interest. I was a Michigan broadcaster for 27 years and a former president of the Michigan Association of Broadcasters. As president, I proposed the annual (now highly successful) Congressional dinner 34 years ago. Thirty four years

ago! Should I even admit it! I feel much too young to be that old—and I find myself comforted when a good old friend says "Remember, the sweetest music is played on the oldest violins." Another benefit of senior citizenship is a kinder, noncompetitive treatment you receive from your associates and others. There is even increased recognition for your contributions. I appreciate what I humorously refer to as my "preposthumous" awards.

In my numerous appearances before educational, communications and public groups, I usually update and personally evaluate major FCC regulatory issues. However, I'm asking your special indulgence today. Our war in the midst transcends regulatory issues in importance and future impact. All else pales in comparison.

I'm asking that you indulge this veteran Thunderbird hawk in a departure from your normal convention expectations.

At a recent National Religious Broadcasters breakfast, President Bush, himself a distinguished air combat veteran, left an indelible impact on my mind with a memorable statement "You can't have the land of the free without the home of the brave." I thought it should have been headlined and repeated over and over again. I missed seeing or hearing it in the press.

I don't believe we can effectively implement "the home of the brave" without overwhelming support on the home front. That means understanding the inescapable casualties, confusion and mistakes of ground and air combat. To achieve such support, I believe it also entails sensible wartime restraints as to reporting on troop locations, military equipment and even the number and cause of casualties. I want to see the positive attitude and support I knew in Africa, Sicily, Italy, France and Germany with the Ninth Infantry and 45th Infantry Divisions. We need the positive spirit and press support of World War II not the kind of adversarial reporting of Vietnam that over-zealously discredited the government and military of our own country, and undermined our efforts. It caused an inglorious, unnecessary defeat of American forces for the first time in the proud history of our nation. I believe the negative reporting on "a Vietnam deception," the Tet offensive, and on General Westmoreland represented shoddy, inaccurate, sensationalized journalism. The reports distorted and discredited our efforts and diserved the nation. This subject was fully treated in my "Press Under Fire" speech in March 1985.

Undoubtedly, my attitude on public support and press restraint have been shaped by my wartime experience. I believe combat veterans can understand the confusion, local disasters and casualties which are an integral part of combat. I think veterans understand the necessity of censoring locations, troop movements, casualties and losses—any information that may lend encouragement to the enemy.

Even with the positive, supportive press and protective censorship of World War II, reporters around the headquarters of combat units often represented an inconvenient intrusion. I admit that I enjoyed talking briefly to the famous Ernie Pyle and AP reporter in Africa and Sicily. But even genial Ernie, who was the leading proponent of the now coveted combat badge and \$10.00 monthly extra in World War II for soldiers in combat, asked in Africa "What's holding you up from taking Bizerte?" I impudently replied "We will be glad to follow you in." No sooner had I spoken when we saw a jeep frantically racing and zigzagging toward our wooded area with two German 88s seroing in. Fortunately, the jeep reached a covered wooded area. Normally Ernie's syndicated

writings empathized with the frantic, dangerous, life of the front line dogfaces. He was universally revered. I was delighted when the VFW presented me an Ernie Pyle plaque after the war for distinguished service to veterans.

I have many vivid memories of World War II—some pleasant, some inspiring and some tragic. Among the more inspiring was General Patton's very first profane fight talk to the entire Ninth Division before embarking for overseas. (Recite if time and interest permit.) As an aside, the phrase "We are going to kill their men and debase their women" was omitted from future fight talks. We didn't know whether George was officially censored or just caught hell from his wife. Probably both.

Among other vivid memories was the German bombing and strafing during the initial months of the African campaign. We defined our air superiority as "for every plane the Germans have in the air, we have two on the ground." As one who temporarily experienced the effect of air bombing and strafing, there is no way the large Iraqi army can prevail against overwhelming allied air superiority. Every Iraqi truck, tank and troop movement will be subject to devastating allied air attack day or night. Another memory: Our confused opposed crossing of the Rhine at Worms, Germany—Three days earlier we could have crossed unopposed. Reporters could have had a field day with that situation—not realizing that we had to wait for other troops to secure our flanks before crossing.

The most tragic memory was seeing the inhumanities of Dachau immediately after its capture, the emaciated stacks of bodies, ashes, bones in the furnaces and a carload backlog of corpses. I will carry with me for life a horrible mental photograph of the scene. It gives me a greater appreciation for the desperate stake Israel has in guaranteeing its own security against hostile surroundings and particularly against Saddam Hussein, the Hitler of the 90s. A little Hitler perhaps, but with the same grandiose designs and brutal demeanor.

I also remember the house-to-house fighting in Nuremberg and particularly the leaflets the discerning Mayor, Karl Holtz, had distributed throughout the city. The leaflets read "We Must Fight to the Last Man against Russian savagery, British arrogance and American irresponsibility." He had us figured.

My most vivid memory was the last big battle of World War II involving the 45th Division. I was the Battalion Commander of the First Battalion, 180th Infantry that drew the unfortunate and surprise assignment of taking the German SS College in the Northern outskirts of Munich. I have attached a clipping from the 45th Division paper of May 13, 1945 that substantiates the story. I'm grateful to Nancy Carey, then Commissioner Washburn's legal assistant, who found the story after a month of research. As veteran war stories tend to get more heroic with each passing year, I'm glad to have this prima facie evidence of my battalion involvement in this historic struggle. In summary, we took the SS College late at night after a hectic battle. Every company commander was wounded, four officers in one company hit, 90 Germans killed, many more wounded.

After 32 months overseas experience in WW II with friendly, though at times intrusive, war correspondents and enjoying complete support from a patriotic home front, it was difficult for me to understand the adversarial press in Vietnam. I could not accept what I perceived as a frantic zeal to discredit the military and the government

635a





of our own country, destroy morale and undermine national will.

I am concerned again with what I initially sensed as an adversarial press attitude in the Middle East. I noticed negative, sometimes ludicrous probing questions at official briefings. Fortunately, there is good news: the American public has maintained its perspective during this crisis. As evidence of this, a recent "Good Morning America" poll on press coverage of the war received over 62,000 calls from across the nation. Of those responding, 82% said that the press is not doing a responsible and fair job of reporting the way. Only 17% felt that the press is being responsible and fair.

As a former broadcaster and newscaster, I have consistently supported full first amendment rights. I usually prefer to be on the journalists' side. I condone press restrictions reluctantly, but find it necessary in wartime and particularly in combat conditions. But the Supreme Court historically has held that in "exceptional cases," the government can restrict the dissemination of such information as "the sailing dates of transports or the number and location of troops." The Pentagon's press guidelines fall squarely in this category.

I want to emphasize that I am not ignoring the right of dissent in a free society. President Bush acknowledged as much in his State of the Union address. And there has been no shortage of dissent. But America's brave young men and women are currently committed to a struggle for a just cause in which their lives are on the line—a commitment that began only after our nation went through the democratic process of congressional debate. In my view, once we have troops in the field, we must give them wholehearted home front support.

I'm delighted that current public opinion polls strongly support the President, our national commander-in-chief, and also the military briefings of the press. According to the recent Times-Mirror poll, for example, 8 out of 10 Americans support the Pentagon's restrictions on journalists covering the Persian Gulf war. The majority of our responsible citizens and thousands of old veterans (like me) are opposed to another Vietnam-type press. A more discerning and sophisticated TV public seems more capable than ever of reaching independent judgments on issues of vital national interest. The public will no longer believe an adversarial press emphasizing the negative, trivializing our military efforts, questioning our reason for military involvement and headlining and repeating every casualty, accident or human error to prove their point and thus inadvertently giving comfort to the enemy.

Casualties, confusion and errors are inherent to all parties in combat. Even without combat, any area containing over 400,000 troops will have the usual accidents and noncombat conflicts.

As for me, I can't understand adversarial attitudes when America is committed to a just cause that is strongly supported by an unprecedented coalition of other countries.

President Bush rejected Saddam Hussein's attempt to characterize the conflict as a religious war. He told the National Religious Broadcasters "This war has nothing to do with religion per se. It has, on the other hand, everything to do with what religion embodies—good versus evil, right versus wrong, human dignity and freedom versus tyranny and oppression."

We must not give comfort or aid to a ruthless enemy dictator whose principal objective is to divide American public opinion and undermine America's will. In fact, it seems his only hope of winning at this time is headlining our casualties, repeating body counts, showing anti-war demonstrations,

and emphasizing our errors. These are all morale boosters for Saddam Hussein who has complete control over his press.

I hope Hussein saw the Giant's all pro line-backer, Lawrence Taylor, tell the nation on TV "This is no time for protest demonstrations. It's time to support our troops in the Middle East and support our country." I also hope Hussein saw the American patriotic fervor at half-time during the Super bowl game and the many pro-American demonstrations. A solid, united America is exactly what is needed to convince Hussein of the hopelessness of his position.

In this time of national need, I hope Oklahoma broadcasters can emulate the patriotic fighting tradition of your distinguished Thunderbird division. Anyone who has had the privilege of serving in the 45th fully appreciates that Oklahoma is the home of the brave.

All Americans, in our own way, must support our troops and our country in a war against a deceitful, tyrannical dictator with ambitions (now shattered) for world domination who possesses the brutal, genocidal, anti-humanitarian instincts of Adolf Hitler.

In the process, let us keep the highest priority on winning the war and secondly, on disseminating detailed press information. We can learn from the security systems in force in Great Britain, Saudi Arabia, Turkey, Egypt, Kuwait and Israel, the countries most experienced in Middle East intrigue and intelligence capabilities. This will include practical wartime press limitations. We should especially notice the effective security and censorship system of Israel, a country with a desperate stake in survival and a courageous people vastly outnumbered by surrounding enemies and potential enemies.

I'll be glad to discuss Washington communications developments in DAB, HDTV, regulatory enforcement cable effective competition, fin/syn (limited), telco-cable, MFJ, etc. with you at an informal post-reception or dinner gathering.

Please forgive this unabashedly patriotic Thunderbird veteran for allotting the highest priority to our present wartime commitment and for lauding citizen support of our efforts. Our cause is just. With superior fighting men and technology, dedicated, appreciative allies, strong support from a patriotic home front, we will win the war and hasten the longed-for peace. We will prove to the world and to ourselves that America, the land of the free, is truly the home of the brave!

(From the 45th Division News (Printed in Germany), May 13, 1945)

LAST BIG FIGHT PITTED 180TH INF. AGAINST SS MEN

When most of the rest of Munich had been occupied and other troops were beginning to take it easy, 1st Bn. 180th Inf., was starting the toughest fighting it had been since the Siegfried Line.

G-3 reports told them there were two battalions of SS men holed up in the old SS college and the only way to approach it was over 1,000 yards of open ground. To make the first battalion bitter was their recollection they had passed through the 3rd Bn. the day before, and the 3rd had rounded up a thousand prisoners with practically no trouble.

The SSers were prepared to fight for their old alma mater. They had dug nice dugouts in the open field, where they could sweat out the shelling, then come out into trenches to fire on the advancing Americans. Their defense consisted of rifle, burp gun, machine gun and SS fire at close range.

To assist A and B Companies in the assault, the 20th Armored Division contributed about a dozen tanks—and the men in them were in their third day of combat.

The morning's attack got under way with fire from our artillery, our 60 and 81 mm mortars and chemical mortars from 2nd Chemical Bn. The fire was not precisely adjusted, and the attack got nowhere. Observation hadn't been good.

First Bn. tried it again that afternoon. "We showed them a powerhouse", reports Maj. James H. Quello, Detroit Company's A and B were behind and between the tanks. Two hundred yards behind came the armored infantry and half tracks. Co. C tailed the armored infantry.

"Then we got the best artillery support I've ever seen", continued the major. They were dropping smoke and fire just 100 yards in front of the tanks. The mortars, as usual, were right on the money."

Tanks and Infantry went forward, firing to beat hell. The SS men lay in their holes until the tanks had passed, then got up to fire at the backs of the infantrymen.

Co. B went into the barracks and started mopping up, and Co. A outflanked its opposition going through to B's right, were it belonged.

Some of the stalwart SS men had taken off when they saw what was coming, but many stayed. Seventy-five were taken prisoner and 80 killed.

One of the prisoners had been run over by a tank covered with dirt, and just his mouth and nose were showing. He walked away with his captors, which might indicate how tough the 1st opponents were.

The fight was filled with incident Pfc's Homer Bearden and William Trimmer, Co. D heavy MG men with Co. A set up their gun to find they were directly across from a German SS not far away. A duel ensued between SS and MG. The 30 obviously couldn't hurt the gun, but Bearden and Trimmer kept the lead running for an hour, all through the fighting, and kept the SS's crew flat on their bellies, their gun out of the fight.

First Lt. William F. Jennings, New York City, took over one of the companies after four of its officers had been shot reorganized it on the spot, and led it in the attack.

Capt. Edward L. Kerker, Shawnee, Okla., jumped into a hole to get out of sniper fire, found five SS men there and took them prisoner.

The CO of Co. B led his men after he had been hit in the hip, and didn't quit until he was shot a second time.

Apparently, this was the last tough battle the 45th was to fight in the European war.

## LOWEY-ROS-LEHTINEN RESOLUTION GAINS BROAD SUPPORT

HON. NITA M. LOWEY

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, March 22, 1991

Mrs. LOWEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, I strongly urge all Members of the House to cosponsor House Concurrent Resolution 88. This resolution—which is already cosponsored by 112 of our colleagues—calls upon the Arab States to end their state of belligerency and the economic boycott against Israel, and to enter into direct negotiations with her. That is an essential first step to achieving a just and lasting peace on the Middle East. House Concurrent Resolution 88 also expresses the