THE FCC: ITS IMPACT ON ADVERTISING AND OTHER NON-ESSENTIALS

Speech given by Commissioner James H. Quello before the American Association of Advertising Agencies Southfield, Michigan, February 18, 1976

FIRST, LET ME ASSURE YOU THAT THE

CONTROVERSIAL TITLE WAS SELECTED WITH TONGUE-

IN-CHEEK. IT WAS CALCULATED TO GET YOUR

ATTENTION JUST LIKE THE OLD ADS THAT SCREAMED

"SEX" IN BIG, BOLD TYPE (SOMEHOW SEX SEEMED

TOO INAPPROPRIATE AND COMMON<sup>P</sup>LACE FOR ME TO

STEAL AS A TITLE). HOWEVER, THE PRINCIPAL

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO HEADLINES IS THAT

MY COPY WILL HAVE AT LEAST SOME RELATIONSHIP TO THE TITLE. SO, NOW THAT I HAVE YOUR ATTEN-TION, LET'S GET RIGHT TO THE POINT! 55

WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF FCC IN ADVER-TISING? DIRECTLY -- RATHER MODERATE. INDIRECTLY --CONSIDERABLE. AS YOU ARE WELL AWARE, THE FTC IS THE GOVERNMENT AGENCY THAT DEALS MORE DIRECTLY WITH ADVERTISING AND MARKETING. THE FCC IS A REGULATORY AGENCY CHARGED BY CONGRESS TO REGULATE BROADCASTING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST. SINCE A SUBSTANTIAL SHARE OF THE ADVERTISERS' DOLLARS GO INTO BROADCASTING, --FCC REGULATION HAS A BROAD IMPACT ON ADVER-TISING. LET ME STATE RIGHT AT THE BEGINNING--THE FCC DOES NOT REGULATE THE CONTENT OF BROADCAST PROGRAMS, OR FOR THAT MATTER BROAD-CAST ADVERTISING, EXCEPT INSOFAR AS THE PROGRAMS OR ADVERTISING ARE DEEMED TO BE NOT IN THE

- 2 -

PUBLIC INTEREST. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND SECTION 326 OF THE COM-MUNICATIONS ACT EXPRESSLY FORBID US FROM PROGRAM CONTENT CENSORSHIP. THIS, TOO, IN-CLUDES THE CENSORSHIP OF ADVERTISING CONTENT. IN SPITE OF THESE PROHIBITIONS, OUR REGULATIONS, IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST, HAVE SUBSTANTIAL INFLUENCE ON BROADCAST PROGRAM CONTENT. AT LICENSE RENEWAL TIME, WE ASK THE BROADCASTER TO DOCUMENT HIS STEWARDSHIP IN THE USE OF THE FREQUENCY WE HAVE ASSIGNED TO HIM---THIS FREQUENCY IS A SCARCE NATIONAL RESOURCE. WE ALSO ASK HIM HOW HE INTENDS TO USE THE FREQUENCY DURING THE NEXT THREE-YEAR PERIOD. WE WANT TO KNOW THAT HE INTENDS TO BROADCAST IN THE AREAS OF NEWS, PUBLIC AFFAIRS,

- 3 -

OTHER LOCAL PROGRAMS, AND PUBLIC SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENTS. WE ALSO WANT TO KNOW WHAT COMMERCIAL TIME LIMITATIONS HE INTENDS TO OBSERVE. FURTHER, WE ASK HIM TO ASCERTAIN FROM COMMUNITY LEADERS AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC THE MOST PRESSING PROBLEMS AND NEEDS IN THE STATION'S SERVICE AREA, AND WE WANT TO KNOW HOW HE INTENDS TO PROGRAM TO MEET THOSE NEEDS. THERE ARE OTHER WAYS, TOO, WE INFLUENCE PROGRAM CONTENT --- WITH ENFORCED POLITICAL ADVERTISING RULES INITIATED BY THE CONGRESS. WE INTRODUCED THE CONCEPT OF PRIME ACCESS PROGRAMMING, AND SOMETIMES NOW I WONDER WHY BECAUSE I PERSONALLY HOPED AT LEAST A HALF HOUR WOULD BE LOCAL PUBLIC AFFAIRS PROGRAMMING. WE HAVE BACKED THE FAMILY VIEWING

- 4 -

CONCEPT INITIATED BY OTHERS; WE HAVE ISSUED GUIDELINES ON CHILDREN'S PROGRAMMING; AND WE HAVE A FAIRNESS DOCTRINE FOR THE AIRING OF CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES. THE APPLICATION OF THIS DOCTRINE TO ADVERTISING HAS BEEN A MAJOR CON-TROVERSIAL ISSUE THE 4 A'S HAVE FREQUENTLY ADDRESSED.

SO YOU CAN SEE, I'M HERE TODAY AS A "REGULATOR," BUT FOR MANY YEARS I WAS ONE OF THE REGULATED. SO I HAVE FIRST-HAND AWARENESS OF THE DIFFICULTIES ON ALL SIDES OF THE COMPLEX RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GOVERNMENT, INDUSTRY, AND THE PUBLIC.

I KNOW THE FRUSTRATIONS OF THE RE-SPONSIBLE BUSINESSMAN WHO FEELS SMOTHERED BY WHAT HE REGARDS AS UNWARRANTED CONTROL,

- 5 -

UNCONSCIONABLE RED TAPE, AND JUST PLAIN NIT-PICKING FROM WASHINGTON. AND I CAN APPRECIATE THE TRUTH CONTAINED IN THE OLD ANSWER TO AN OLD QUESTION.

THE QUESTION IS: "WHAT ARE THE THREE GREATEST FABRICATIONS IN THE WORLD?" AND THE ANSWER IS:

- 1. THEOLD ONE OF "YOUR CHECK IS IN THE MAIL."
- 2. "IT'S GREAT TO BE POOR--IT BUILDS CHARACTER."
- 3. "WE'RE FROM THE FCC AND WE'RE HERE TO HELP YOU."

YET IALSO KNOW THAT THE REGULATOR HAS A LEGITIMATE AND NECESSARY ROLE. THAT ROLE IS, IN EFFECT, TO PRESERVE THE FREE-ENTERPRISE SYSTEM (AND TODAY THAT MEANS A SOCIALLY CONSCIOUS FREE ENTERPRISE -SYSTEM) BY CURBING ITS EXCESSES, CORRECTING ITS IMBALANCES, AND PRESERVING THE RIGHTS OF ALL WHO PARTICIPATE IN IT. WHEN THAT RESPONSIBILITY IS EXERCISED WITH RESTRAINT, GOOD JUDGMENT, AND CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THE PRACTICAL WORLD, EVERYONE BENEFITS.

IT MAY NOT ALWAYS BE EASY TO RECOGNIZE THAT YOU BENEFIT, BUT YOU DO. WE ARE ALL AWARE THAT THE IMAGE OF BUSINESS IN GENERAL. AND ADVERTISING IN PARTICULAR, HAS DECLINED ---221 PERHAPS "PLUMMETED" WOULD BE A BETTER WORD --DURING THE PAST DECADE. ALTHOUGH THERE ARE SIGNS THAT THIS TREND MAY HAVE BEEN ARRESTED. IT HAS NOT BEEN REVERSED. SO IT IS TO YOUR PRACTICAL BENEFIT TO KEEP YOUR HOUSE IN ORDER. THE 4 A'S IS COMPOSED OF THE MOST SUCCESSFUL AND ETHICAL ADVERTISING AGENCIES

IN THE NATION. YOU HAVE A CONTINUING CHALLENGE: TO MAINTAIN HIGH STANDARDS IN ADVERTISING, TO CONVINCE OTHERS OF THE GOOD FAITH AND PUBLIC BENEFIT OF ADVERTISING, AND TO DEAL FIRMLY WITH THOSE IN YOUR INDUSTRY WHO FAIL TO MEET YOUR OWN HIGH STANDARDS. TO THE EXTENT THAT RE-GULATION CAN HELP YOU MEET THOSE CHALLENGES--AND IT CAN--IT DESERVES YOUR SUPPORT. TO THAT EXTENT, AND NO MORE.

THOSE OF US WHO HAVE BEEN ON BOTH SIDES OF THE REGULATORY FENCE ARE IN A UNIQUE POSITION. WE UNDERSTAND THE STRENGTHS AND THE IMPORTANCE OF BUSINESS: WE SYMPATHIZE WITH ITS PROBLEMS: BUT WE <u>ALSO</u> KNOW FROM EXPERIENCE ITS FAILINGS AND WEAKNESSE<sup>S</sup>. TO PUT IT ANOTHER

- 7 -

WAY AS I TOLD BROADCASTERS---"I'M WITH YOU WHEN YOU'RE RIGHT, AND I'M DANGEROUSLY KNOWLEDGEABLE WHEN YOU'RE WRONG." OF COURSE, WHETHER YOU'RE "RIGHT" OR "WRONG" IS NOT ALWAYS THAT EASY TO DETERMINE.

NO SET OF ABSTRACT PRINCIPLES CAN GOVERN IN ALL CASES THE PROPER RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BUSINESS AND GOVERNMENT. IN SAYING, "WE MUST SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST, " THE REGULATOR CANNOT REGARD THOSE WORDS AS AN EXCUSE FOR MEDDLING IN ANY QUESTION THAT SUITS HIS INTEREST OR HIS PERSONAL ZEAL FOR REFORM. I MAY NOT LIKE A TELEVISION PROGRAM; BUT I HAVE NO POWER TO ABOLISH OR ALTER THAT PROGRAM, NOR SHOULD I. THE PRINCIPLE IS THE SAME ON YOUR SIDE OF THE FENCE. THE BUSINESSMAN CANNOT SAY:

- 8 -

"FREE ENTERPRISE IS THE AMERICAN WAY, AND IT MUST PREVAIL," AND THEN USE THOSE WORDS AS AN EXCUSE TO OPPOSE ANY FORM OF GOVERNMENT ACTION, OR TO DEFEND PRACTICES THAT ARE CLEARLY INDEFENSIBLE LEGALLY OR MORALLY. BUSINESS, GOVERNMENT AND THE PUBLIC ARE THREE PARTICIPANTS IN A DELICATE BALANCING ACT. EACH OF US HAS A PROPER ROLE, AND EACH OF US MUST BE CAREFUL NOT TO STEP BEYOND IT.

WHAT, SPECIFICALLY, DOES THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION DO TO AFFECT YOUR LIFE? SOME PEOPLE HAVE THE INITIAL REACTION THAT IT DOES VERY LITTLE. YOUR ATTENTION IS FOCUSED MORE OFTEN ON ANOTHER AGENCY, THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION. THE FCC MAY SEEM TO BE AN AGENCY WHOSE INFLUENCE ON YOU IS

- 9 -

TOTALLY INDIRECT.

IF YOU FEEL THAT WAY, YOU PROBABLY OUGHT TO TAKE A CLOSER LOOK. IT IS TRUE THAT THE FCC HAS NOTHING TO SAY ABOUT THE CONTENT OF YOUR ADVERTISING MESSAGE, BUT IT DOES HAVE A GREAT DEAL TO SAY ABOUT THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THAT MESSAGE IS DELIVERED.

LET ME LIST SEVERAL EXAMPLES. MY COMMENTS ON THESE ISSUES ARE NOT SIMPLY PER-SONAL OBSERVATIONS, EXCEPT WHERE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED AS SUCH. WHAT I HAVE TO SAY IS PRIMARILY A REPORTING OF COMMISSION POLICIES, AS ESTABLISHED OVER THE YEARS.

1. <u>FALSE OR MISLEADING ADVERTISING</u>. WE REQUIRE BROADCASTERS TO REVIEW ALL COMMERCIAL MATTER TO SIFT OUT FRAUDULENT OR MISLEADING

- 10 -

ADVERTISING, AND TO CHECK THE RELIABILITY OR REPUTATIONS OF PROSPECTIVE ADVERTISERS. WHEN AN ADVERTISEMENT BECOMES THE SUBJECT OF AN FTC COMPLAINT, WE BELIEVE THAT THE LICENSEE SHOULD EXERCISE PARTICULAR CARE IN DECIDING WHETHER TO ACCEPT IT FOR BROADCAST. WE ADVISE PARTICULAR CARE IN HANDLING MAIL-ORDER ADVERTISEMENTS. IN NO CASE DO WE PASS ADVANCE JUDGMENT ON ANY ADVERTISER OR ADVERTISEMENT. BUT NEITHER DO WE ALLOW THE LICENSEE TO CLAIM THAT "TRUTH IN ADVERTISING" IS SOLELY THE ADVERTISER'S PROBLEM. TO DO THAT WOULD BE TO VIOLATE A FIRST PRINCIPLE OF BROADCAST RE-GULATION: THE LICENSEE IS UTLIMATELY RESPONSIBLE FOR WHAT HE ALLOWS TO BE BROADCAST.

- 11

- 12 -

2. PROGRAM-LENGTH COMMERCIALS. THE COMMISSION TAKES A DIM VIEW OF PROGRAMS THAT INTERWEAVE "ENTERTAINMENT" OR "INFORMATIONAL" CONTENT WITH SUBTLE PROMOTIONS OF THE PRO-DUCTS OR SERVICES OF AN ADVERTISER. OUR BASIC CONCERN HERE IS WHETHER A LICENSEE HAS SUBORDINATED PROGRAMMING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO PROGRAMMING IN THE INTEREST OF SALEABILITY. IF THE LICENSEE MAKES A GOOD-FAITH JUDGMENT THAT THE PROGRAM VALUES ARE NOT INCIDENTAL TO THE SALES PURPOSES. THEN WE DON'T CALL IT A PROGRAM-LENGTH COMMERCIAL. THE CLEAREST DEFENSE AGAINST THIS CHARGE, HOWEVER, IS TO SEPARATE AS COMPLETELY AS POSSIBLE THE PROGRAM CONTENT AND THE SPONSOR'S MESSAGE.

3. <u>SPONSORSHIP IDENTIFICATION</u>. EVERY BROADCAST EMPLOYEE IS PROHIBITED FROM ACCEPTING MONEY OR OTHER CONSIDERATION FROM ANYONE OTHER THAN THE LICENSEE FOR BROADCASTING ANY MATERIAL OVER THE STATION. AND, AS YOU KNOW; ANY CONSIDERATION USED IN PROMOTION, MUST BE LISTED AND IDENTIFIED AS SUCH.

4. <u>CHILDREN'S TELEVISION</u>. WE PROHIBIT THE BROADCASTING OF MORE THAN 9 1/2 MINUTES OF COMMERCIAL.MATTER PER HOUR FOR CHILDREN ON SATURDAY AND SUNDAY BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 7 A.M. AND 2 P.M., AND MORE THAN 12 MINUTES AT OTHER TIMES DURING PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN 12 AND UNDER. PROGRAM AND COMMERCIAL CONTENT MUST BE SEPARATED CAREFULLY. THE HOST IS

- 13 -

FORBIDDEN TO SELL A PRODUCT. AND THE PRO-GRAM ITSELF CANNOT BE USED TO PROMOTE A PRODUCT--FOR EXAMPLE, BY WEAVING BRAND NAMES INTO THE PROGRAM.

5. FRAUDULENT BILLING. WHEN I SAID THAT WE AFFECT THE "CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH YOU DELIVER YOUR MESSAGE," I DID NOT MEAN THAT ALL WE HAVE TO OFFER YOU ARE RESTRICTIONS. WE ALSO TRY TO PREVENT UNETHICAL COMMERCIAL PRACTICES ON THE BROADCASTER'S PART. THERE ARE TWO PARTIES TO A MEDIA TRANSACTION: WE REGULATE THE BROADCAST MEDIA SELLER: AND IT IS UP TO US TO INSURE THAT THE SELLER OBEYS THE LAW. TO NAME JUST ONE EXAMPLE, WE WATCH CAREFULLY FOR EVIDENCE OF "DOUBLE BILLING,"

- 14 -

IN WHICH THE BROADCASTER FALSIFIES BILLS SENT TO CO-OP ADVERTISERS. SANCTIONS FOR THIS OFFENSE ARE SEVERE -- (EXAMPLES) FORTUNATELY, THE NUMBER OF BROADCASTERS KNOWINGLY INVOLVED IN THIS TYPE OF DECEPTION IS A VERY SMALL PERCENTAGE.

6. <u>HYPOING</u>. IN BROADCASTING, RATINGS MEAN MONEY -- AND DECEPTIVE RATINGS MEAN MONEY ACQUIRED UNDER FALSE PRETENSES.-FCC ISSUED A NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING LAST JUNE, THE DEADLINE FOR COMMENTS WAS LAST DECEMBER 29th AND THE DOCKET IS NOW BEING PROCESSED BY THE STAFF.

7. LOUD COMMERCIALS. JUST LAST YEAR, THE FCC REMINDED LICENSEES - AGAIN - THAT THEY MUST TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO LIMIT OBJECTIONABLY LOUD COMMERCIALS. WE HAVE PROVIDED EXAMPLES OF WAYS TO LIMIT LOUDNESS. PERHAPS WE OUGHT TO CONDUCT TESTS TO DETERMINE MORE CLEARLY WHETHER OR NOT CORRECTIVE ACTION IS NEEDED.

THE COMMISSION ALSO ISSUES RULINGS THAT DO NOT DIRECTLY CONCERN ADVERTISING <u>PRACTICES</u>, BUT WHICH DO AFFECT THE ADVERTISER'S LIFE. AS WE MENTIONED BEFORE, THESE INCLUDE THE PRIME-TIME ACCESS REGULATIONS, POLITICAL ADVERTISING RULES, THE FAIRNESS DOCTRINE, CHILDREN'S PROGRAMMING RULES, PROGRAMMING REQUIREMENTS FOR LICENSE RENEWALS AND NUMEROUS POLICY STATEMENTS.

-16-

PROHIBITED FROM MORE DIRECT REGULATION. (IN THE FCC'S CASE AS PREVIOUSLY STATED, THE PROHIBITION IS CONTAINED BOTH IN THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND IN SECTION 326 OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT, WHICH FORBIDS CENSORSHIP IN ANY FORM.)

AS YOU KNOW, HOWEVER, THE INFLUENCE OF A REGULATORY AGENCY DOES NOT BEGIN AND END WITH RULES AND LAWS. THE REGULATED MUST ALSO CONTEND WITH THE <u>ATMOSPHERE</u> OF REGULATION -- WHICH MAY INVOLVE FORMAL COMPLAINTS, WARNING SPEECHES FROM REGULATORS, OR INFORMAL PRESSURE THAT MAY ELICIT COMPLIANCE WITHOUT ADOPTING NEW RULES. THE ESTABLISHMENT OF

-17-

BOTH THE FCC AND THE FTC ARE

A VOLUNTARY RESPONSE TO "REGULATION BY RAISED EYEBROW." WE ARE ALSO CURRENTLY CONSIDERING THE ISSUE OF FCC INVOLVEMENT IN RADIO FORMATS GENERATED BY A RECENT COURT DECISION.

"FAMILY VIEWING TIME" IS AN EXAMPLE OF

IN THE CASE OF BROADCASTING, THE ATMOSPHERE IS ONE OF CONSTANT TURMOIL. BROADCASTING IS THE SUBJECT OF COUNTLESS ATTACKS FROM ANTAGONISTS OF EVERY DESCRIPTION AND EVERY POLITICAL PERSUASION. BECAUSE OF THE MEDIUM'S UNIVERSAL IMPACT, IT IS THE FIRST -- AND SOMETIMES THE ONLY --MEDIUM TO UNDERGO THIS PRESSURE. AND BECAUSE BROADCASTING IS LICENSED AND REGULATED, IT IS FAIR GAME FOR PROHIBITIONS THAT DO NOT APPLY TO OTHER MEDIA, SUCH AS THE BAN ON CIGARETTE ADVERTISING. EVEN MORE DRASTIC PROPOSALS HAVE BEEN ADVANCED AND SERIOUSLY CONSIDERED, OF WHICH THE MOST CONSCIOUS AND, I THINK, THREATENING, EXAMPLE WAS COUNTERADVERTISING. THE THREAT WAS PARTICULARLY OMINOUS IN 1972 WHEN THE FTC FILED A STATEMENT IN THE FCC FAIRNESS DOCTRINE INQUIRY ADVOCATING THAT THE FCC REQUIRE ALL BROADCASTERS TO PROVIDE SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNTS OF TIME BOTH FREE AND PAID, FOR REGULARLY SCHEDULED "COUNTER-ADVERTISING" ON A BROAD SCALE, THEN. TOO. THE D.C. COURT OF APPEALS THREE YEARS AFTER THE CIGARETTE RULING OF THE FCC, HELD

THAT THE COMMISSION COULD NOT AVOID APPLYING THE FAIRNESS DOCTRINE TO OTHER COMMERCIAL MESSAGES. THE GENERAL ADOPTION OF COUNDERADVERTISING WOULD HAVE MADE A SHAMBLES OF BROADCAST ADVERTISING AND WOULD HAVE BEEN DISCRIMINATORY AGAINST THE BROADCAST INDUSTRY. AFTER THOROUGH STUDY, COMMENTS AND DELIBERATION, THE FCC MAJORITY VOTED AGAINST INCLUDING COUNTERADVERTISING UNDER THE

FAIRNESS DOCTRINE.

THERE ARE INFLUENTIAL GROUPS IN WASHINGTON THAT SIMPLY BELIEVE ADVERTISING. AND PARTICULARLY TV-RADIO ADVERTISING, IS NOT ONLY NON-ESSENTIAL BUT SOCIALLY HARMFUL. OPPONENTS OF ADVERTISING CLAIM COMMERCIAL BROADCASTING IS NOT AN INFORMATIVE, ENTER-TAINING. FREE SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC. BUT IS RATHER AN OVER-COMMERCIALIZED. PROFIT DOMINATED ADVERTISING BUSINESS THAT COSTS THE PUBLIC DEARLY IN INCREASED COSTS OF PRODUCTS AND SERVICES. THEY SAY THAT TV. THE MOST POWERFUL VEHICLE FOR INFORMATION, ENLIGHTENMENT AND IDEAS IS DEDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES TO SELLING GOODS AT THE THE LOWEST POSSIBLE ADVERTISING COST --- AT

- 21 -

THE LOWEST COST PER THOUSAND. MASS AUDIENCE IS THE NAME OF THE GAME DELIVERED, IF NECESSARY, BY VIOLENCE, SEX, ESCAPE DRAMA, AND VAPID COMEDY WITH TOO FEW PROGRAMS CALLING FOR SERIOUS REFLECTION. THE ARGUMENT CONTINUES (WITH SOME VALIDITY) THAT BROADCASTING IS USING UP THE HIGHEST PAID CREATIVE SKILLS OF OUR NATION, NOT TO ENLARGE THE HUMAN SPIRIT, BUT TO SELL SOAP, CARS, UNDERARM DEODORANTS AND VAGINAL ANTISEPTICS AT ADDITIONAL COSTS AND HIGH PROFITS.

THE "BOTTOM-LINE" MESSAGE OF THE CRITICS IS: TV AND RADIO ARE THE MOST POWERFUL COMMUNICATIONS INSTRUMENTS IN THE HISTORY OF CIVILIZATION. THEY SHOULD BE DEVELOPED NOT FOR THE NARROW GOALS OF SALES AND PROFITS BUT TO ENLIGHTEN THE PUBLIC AND SUPPORT THE BELEAGURED SPIRIT IN OUR SOCIETY.

COMMERCIAL RADIO IN THE UNITED STATES IS ONLY ABOUT 55 YEARS OLD; TELEVISION HAS BEEN OF SIGNIFICANCE ABOUT HALF THAT LONG. THE FRAMEWORK OF GOVERNMENT REGULATION OF BOTH THE RADIO AND TELEVISION 1.5.5 - - - - -INDUSTRY GO BACK, OF COURSE, TO 1927 -- AT A TIME WHEN THOSE VERY FEW BROADCASTERS THEN IN THE BUSINESS -- WERE BEGGING THE GOVERNMENT TO BRING ORDER OUT OF CHAOS IN THE USE OF FREQUENCIES. THESE EARLY -BROADCASTERS WERE PRIMARILY INTERESTED IN

TECHNICAL MATTERS -- EQUIPMENT AND FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS. (THEY GOT MORE THAN THEY BARGAINED FOR; --- THEY GOT A GENERAL OVERALL GUIDE - THE "PUBLIC INTEREST" -- A TERM WHICH HAS BEEN AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE REASONABLY SUBJECT TO CHANGE -- AND THE SOURCE OF A LOT OF UNCERTAINTY.) SO ACTUALLY THE FCC WAS INITIALLY ESTABLISHED AS AN ENGINEERING TRAFFIC COP -- AS YOU KNOW IT .... HAS SINCE ADDED A VICE AND MORALS SQUAD.

AS CONGRESS DEBATED ON WHAT FORM THE COMMERCIAL BROADCASTING INDUSTRY SHOULD TAKE, THERE WERE SOME CHOICES TO BE MADE. ONE OF THESE CHOICES WAS WHETHER BROADCASTING IN THE UNITED STATES WOULD BE A "COMMON CARRIER" PUBLIC\_UTILITY TYPE OF INDUSTRY WITH ITS TIME BEING AVAILABLE AND BEING SOLD ON A FIRST COME, FIRST SERVED BASIS AT RATES FIXED BY A GOVERNMENT AGENCY.

CONGRESS EMPHATICALLY REJECTED THIS CHOICE AND OPTED FOR A PRIVATE ENTER-PRISE, PRIVATELY FINANCED, RISK CAPITAL, AND <u>ADVERTISER SUPPORTED</u> INDUSTRY. LET ME REPEAT. THE <u>LAW</u> OF <u>COMMUNICATIONS APPROVED</u> BY <u>CONGRESS</u> OPTED FOR AN <u>ADVERTISER SUPPORTED</u> INDUSTRY.

THUS, COMMERCIAL BROADCASTING AS WE KNOW IT GREW TO WHERE THERE ARE TODAY OVER<u>8057</u> RADIO STATIONS AND 963 TELEVISION STATIONS PROVIDING BROADCAST SERVICES TO THE PEOPLE OF

THIS COUNTRY -- AND ADVERTISING IS AN IMPOR-TANT -- INDEED VITAL -- PART OF THAT SYSTEM. FOR WITHOUT ADVERTISING, THE AMERICAN SYSTEM OF BROADCASTING AS WE KNOW IT WOULD MOST ASSUREDLY AND COMPLETELY COLLAPSE --AND SOMETIMES AS I PHILOSOPHIZE ABOUT THE INDUSTRY. THE THOUGHT CROSSES MY MIND THAT IN THE UNITED STATES, ADVERTISING MAY WELL BE THE NATION'S PRINCIPAL GUARANTEE AGAINST A GOVERNMENT SUPPORTED AND CONTROLLED BROADCAST INDUSTRY AND THAT ADVERTISING UNDERWRITES THE PROGRAMMING, NEWS AND OTHER SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE MOST VARIED, SOPHISTICATED. BROADCASTING SERVICES IN THE WORLD.

-26-

WITH MY BACKGROUND, I BELIEVE

ADVERTISING AND MARKETING ARE VITAL FORCES IN THE AMERICAN WAY OF LIFE. BUT WHAT I BELIEVE, AND YOU BELIEVE, AND THE CRITICS BELIEVE ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THERE SHOULD BE BROADCAST ADVERTISING IS NOT THE ISSUE HERE. LONG AGO. AS I HAVE ALREADY POINTED OUT. WHEN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE AMERICAN SYSTEM OF BROADCASTING WAS ESTABLISHED. IT WAS DECIDED THAT BROADCASTING SHOULD BE ADVERTISER SUPPORTED AND FREE. TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC WHO HAD RECEIVING EQUIPMENT. THE AMERICAN PEOPLE THROUGH CONGRESS. REJECTED THE SUPPORT OF BROADCASTING THROUGH THE CONCEPT OF LICENSE FEES AND BROAD GOVERNMENTAL SUBSIDIES AND CONTROLS, WHICH ARE CHARACTER-

COUNTRIES.

EARLIER I ALLUDED TO THE INDIRECT CONTROL OF BROADCAST PROGRAMS AND BROAD-CAST ADVERTISING BY THE FCC. THE MORE POSITIVE CONTROL IS EXERTED THROUGH VOLUNTARY CODES. I COMMEND THE 4A'S FOR THE VOLUNTARY CODES OF GOOD PRACTICE AND URGE POSITIVE ADHERENCE TO THEM. I ALSO COMMEND TO YOU THE VOLUNTARY CODES SET UP BY THE BROAD-CASTING INDUSTRY, FOR IF PROPERLY OBSERVED THEY WOULD DO MUCH TO SILENCE THE CRITICS OF YOUR INDUSTRY AND THE BROADCASTING INDUSTRY, TOO OFTEN, REGRETABLY, THE BROADCASTER'S CODES ARE ON A COLLISION

COURSE WITH THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS AND YOUR CREATIVE DEPARTMENTS. I AM CONSTANTLY AMAZED AT THE NUMBER OF

COMMERCIALS CREATED BY AGENCIES THAT ARE REJECTED BY BROADCASTERS' CODE AUTHORITY AND BY THE NETWORKS STANDARDS OF PRACTICE DEPARTMENTS. RECENTLY, I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SEE SOME OF THE COMMERCIALS THAT HAVE BEEN REJECTED. ALTHOUGH THE SHOWING WAS HUMOROUS AT THE TIME, THE FACT THAT THESE COMMERCIALS WERE SERIOUSLY PROPOSED WOULDN'T EXACTLY HELP THE CAUSE OF DE-REGULATION.

OF COURSE, FOIBLES AND MISDIRECTIVES ARE NOT THE EXCLUSIVE PROVINCE OF ADVERTISERS OR EVEN BROADCASTERS...THE REGULATORY AGENCIES-ALSO CONTRIBUTE. AT THE UNIVERSITY OF DETROIT ON "BROADCAST MANAGEMENT" AND ANOTHER IN THE "PRACTICALI-TIES OF GOVERNMENT REGULATION." WHEN I LEAVE THE FCC AFTER  $6-\frac{1}{2}$  YEARS SERVICE, I EXPECT TO BE FULLY QUALIFIED TO ADD A CHAPTER OR TWO ON "THE IRRATIONALITIES OF GOVERNMENT REGULATION." (LIST EXAMPLES OF IRRATIONALITIES - LIST 3 OR 4 LEADING PARADOXES -- SUGGEST A CORRECTIVE COURSE OF ACTION.)

IN MY CORRESPONDENCE WITH WALLY MCLEAN, IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT I ASSUME THE ROLE OF AN AGENCY MEDIA OR TIME BUYER AND DESCRIBE HOW I WOULD EVALUATE COMPETITIVE STATIONS, NETWORKS, PROGRAMS, ETC. IN MY

WHILE AT WJR. I TAUGHT A COURSE

EXPERIENCE BOTH AS A STATION MANAGER AND AS AN FCC COMMISSIONER, I WAS IN THE UNIQUE POSITION OF HAVING MADE QUALITATIVE AND VALUE JUDGMENTS ABOUT PROGRAM PERFORMANCE AND STATION QUALITY.

IF I WERE TO BE A MEDIA BUYER, I'D PROBABLY BE INCLINED TO WORK MORE FROM MY SUBJECTIVE PREFERENCES THAN FROM EXPERTISE. I CAN IMAGINE TIMEBUYER QUELLO... HE'D PROBABLY BUY ALL STATIONS AND NETWORKS THAT BANNED THE GODFATHER, THE UNTOUCHABLES, AND LATINS ARE LOUSY LOVERS. HE WOULD SPECIALIZE IN STATIONS WHOSE MANAGERS HAD LAST NAMES ENDING IN VOWELS. AND HE'D AGGRESSIVELY SOLICIT ACCOUNTS CONTROLLED BY SUCH CLIENTS AS RICARDO, CAFIERO, IACOCCA, MARABITO, CASERIO AND DE LORENZO.

I IMAGINE CHAIRMAN WILEY WOULD CREATE A "NEW ETHIC" QUOTIENT FOR EVALUATING STATIONS AND NETWORKS WITH ETHICAL PERFORMANCE DATA AND PUBLIC SERVICE BROWNIE POINTS. COMPUTER MIGHT BE LOADED WITH ADVERTISING NON-ESSENTIALS, BUT BUY WOULD COME OUT VERY CLEAN.

NOW BACK TO SERIOUS REALITY ---

NATURALLY, I PERSONALLY WOULD BE ATTRACTED MORE TO A QUALITATIVE RATHER THAN QUANTITATIVE LEADERSHIP. AFTER ALL, AS SOME OF YOU RECALL, WJR. UNDER GOODWILL STATIONS OWNERSHIP. PROHIBITED USE OF RATINGS IN SELLING AND RELIED SOLELY ON QUALITATIVE RESEARCH AND PROGRAM AND PUBLIC SERVICE LEADERSHIP.

I REALIZE IN THIS AGE OF COMPUTER BUYS AND DATA PROCESSING SYSTEMS QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE MEDIA-BUY IS DIFFICULT, BUT I WONDER IF IN THE QUEST FOR GROSS RATING POINTS, DEMOGRAPHICS TARGETING, ETC., WE ARE NOT OVERLOOKING ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS IN COMMERCIAL EFFECTIVENESS. THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT IN WHICH THE COMMERCIAL IS PRESENTED. I GUESS IT IS AN UTOPIAN DREAM TO EXPECT THE HIGHEST QUALITY STATIONS -- QUALITY AND BELIEVABILITY IN PROGRAMMING, QUALITY IN ADVERTISING STANDARDS,

QUALITY IN PRODUCTION -- TO QUARANTEE THE LARGEST AUDIENCE: BUT THE PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE OF ANY ASSOCIATION WITH THIS TYPE OF STATION PROVIDES A QUALITATIVE ADVERTISING IMPACT NOT REFLECTED IN RATINGS.

SO ADVERTISING IS IMPORTANT - VITALLY IMPORTANT - TO THE HEALTH OF BROADCASTING. AND AS A COMMISSIONER AT THE FCC, I ASSURE YOU THAT I AM MOST INTERESTED IN SEEING TO IT THAT THE BROADCASTING INDUSTRY IN THIS COUNTRY CONTINUES TO BE IN GOOD HEALTH --PHYSICALLY, MORALLY AND FINANCIALLY SO THAT IT CAN BETTER SERVE PUBLIC INTEREST.

WITH THOSE REMARKS, I AM SURE THAT YOU NOW UNDERSTAND THAT THE TITLE TO MY TALK "THE FCC: ITS IMPACT ON ADVERTISING AND OTHER NON-ESSENTIALS" WAS REALLY SELECTED WITH TONGUE-IN- CHEEK -- AND CALCULATED TO GET YOUR INTEREST. I HOPE IT DID -- AND THANKS FOR INVITING ME HERE.