## NAFMB SPEECH BY COMMISSIONER JAMES H. QUELLO NEW ORLEANS OCTOBER 11, 1974

IT'S ALWAYS GOOD TO BE BACK AMONG

BROADCASTERS. I BELIEVE I HAVE SOME UNDER
STANDING OF THE MEDIUM, HAVING SPENT SOME

THIRTY YEARS SERVING IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES

AS A BROADCASTER MYSELF. I'M PARTICULARLY

GRATIFIED THAT FM RADIO HAS RISEN TO ITS

CURRENT PROMINENT POSITION...AND I'M DELIGHTED

THAT THE FUTURE OF FM LOOKS EVEN BETTER.

I CAN REMEMBER A TIME WHEN THE OWNERS

OF THE AM-FM COMBINATION I WAS ASSOCIATED

WITH WERE ADVISED TO SELL -- OR GIVE AWAY -
THE FM STATION SINCE IT WAS PROVIDING SOME

EXPENSE AND VERY LITTLE REVENUE. THAT WAS
BACK WHEN FM WAS OFTEN AN ACRONYM FOR
"FORGOTTEN MEDIUM." NOW, AS YOU WELL KNOW,
IT OFTEN STANDS FOR "FOLDING MONEY." IT
ALSO REPRESENTS "FUTURE MEDIUM" AND THAT
WELL-WORN PHRASE "FM RADIO IS JUST AROUND
THE CORNER" NO LONGER APPLIES. THE CORNER
HAS BEEN TURNED AND FM IS FINALLY INTO A
STRETCH RUN AND PICKING UP SPEED.

THERE HAVE BEEN MANY TECHNICAL

INNOVATIONS PARTICULARLY SUITED TO FM

RADIO IN RECENT YEARS. STEREO, QUADRAPHONIC,

AUTOMATION, PROGRAM PACKAGING AND ALL THE

REST OF IT...PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES IN RADIO

BROADCASTING THAT WERE UNDREAMED OF A

FEW YEARS AGO. THESE OPPORTUNITIES POINT

TOWARDS PROFITS THAT FM BROADCASTERS DIDN'T

DARE DREAM OF TEN YEARS AGO. THEY POINT

TOWARDS STATURE FM BROADCASTERS HAVE,

HERETOFORE, LACKED IN MOST COMMUNITIES.

BUT, THOSE SAME OPPORTUNITIES ALSO POINT

TOWARDS RESPONSIBILITIES THAT THE FM

BROADCASTER HAS OFTEN BEEN ABLE TO AVOID

IN THE PAST.

THE UNIQUE QUALITIES OF FM LEND THEMSELVES TO SPECIFIC KINDS OF HIGH-QUALITY

MUSIC PROGRAMMING WHICH HAVE COME TO BE

VERY APPEALING TO SIGNIFICANT AUDIENCES. I'D

LIKE TO SUGGEST, HOWEVER, THAT THE DAYS

OF BACKGROUND MUSIC SERVICE -- WITHOUT SOME

SERIOUS ATTENTION TO OTHER PUBLIC SERVICE

BROADCASTING -- ARE NEARING AN END. I ASSURE

YOU THAT LICENSE APPLICATIONS WHICH PROPOSE

VIRTUALLY NO NEWS OR PUBLIC AFFAIRS PRO
GRAMMING ARE NOW GETTING VERY CLOSE SCRUTINY

AT THE COMMISSION AND ARE LIKELY TO RECEIVE

EVEN MORE ATTENTION IN THE FUTURE.

OF A GOOD MUSIC STATION WHICH IS PROGRAMMED,

TO A LARGE EXTENT, TO PROVIDE ALMOST CON
TINOUS MUSIC TO A WIDE AUDIENCE. I'M AWARE

OF THE ARGUMENTS THAT SUCH PROGRAMMING IS,

OF ITSELF, A PUBLIC SERVICE DESIRED BY LARGE

SEGMENT OF THE PUBLIC. HOWEVER, I'M ALSO

AWARE OF THE PUBLIC NEED FOR INFORMATION

WHICH BROADCAST SERVICES ARE UNIQUELY

ABLE TO PROVIDE.

I HAVE STATED THAT BROADCAST MANAGEMENT, PARTICULARLY TV AND AM MANAGEMENT,
SHOULD ASSUME THE ROLE, MORE AND MORE, OF
EDITOR-AND-PUBLISHER...EMPHASIZING THE NEWS
REPORTING AND ANALYSIS ROLE IN THEIR COMMUNITIES; PLACING LESS EMPHASIS ON THE SALES AND
FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF THE BUSINESS. GRANTED,
SALES, REVENUES, COSTS AND PROFITS ARE ALL
VITAL TO OUR SYSTEM OF BROADCASTING...BUT
THEY SHOULD NOT BE THE ONLY PREOCCUPATIONS OF

MANAGEMENT. BROADCAST MANAGEMENT SHOULD -AND OFTEN DOES -- BECOME INVOLVED IN COMMUNITY

AFFAIRS...BOTH ON AND OFF THE AIR. AS FM

RADIO MOVES OUT INTO THE MAINSTREAM OF

BROADCASTING, IT, TOO, MUST ASSUME AN IMPORTANT

ROLE OF LEADERSHIP IN THE COMMUNITY AND

PARTICIPATION IN MATTERS WHICH HAVE POTENTIAL

BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY.

THE ASCERTAINMENT OF COMMUNITY NEEDS

AND INTERESTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMISSION IS

OFTEN AN ARDUOUS, TIME-CONSUMING EXERCISE

WHICH CAN BE VERY BURDENSOME TO A BROAD
CASTER WHO HAS MANY OTHER IMPORTANT MATTERS

CLAMORING FOR HIS ATTENTION. HOWEVER, IT IS

A PROCESS WHICH, WHEN PURSUED IN GOOD FAITH.

CAN PROVIDE A BROADCASTER THE NECESSARY

INFORMATION WITH WHICH TO SERVE THE PUBLIC.

GRANTED, THE PROCEDURE MAY BE CUMBERSOME...

AND THERE MIGHT WELL BE A BETTER WAY TO

ACCOMPLISH THE SAME THING...BUT ALL BROAD
CASTERS ARE PLEDGED TO SERVE THE PUBLIC AND,

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE THAT SERVICE, MUST UNDER
STAND WHAT INTERESTS AND NEEDS SHOULD BE

SERVED.

NOW, I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT LARGE

BLOCS OF TIME NEED BE TAKEN OUT OF MUSIC

TO FULFILL AN FM BROADCASTERS PUBLIC SERVICE

RESPONSIBILITY. WHAT I AM SUGGESTING IS THAT

FM BROADCASTERS...LIKE ALL OTHER BROAD
CASTERS...DO HAVE THIS RESPONSIBILITY.

IN MY MIND, IT INCREASES AS YOUR ABILITY TO
BROADEN YOUR SERVICE, IMPACT YOUR COMMUNITY
AND GENERATE PROFITS INCREASES. AFTER ALL,
YOU MAKE A COMMITMENT TO PUBLIC SERVICE
WHEN YOU APPLY FOR YOUR LICENSE TO OPERATE.
I THINK THAT IMPLIES MUCH MORE THAN JUST
ENTERTAINMENT PROGRAMMING.. AS IMPORTANT
AS THAT IS.

AS YOUR PROPERTIES BECOME MORE AND

MORE VALUABLE...AND INFLUENTIAL...YOU

LOGICALLY CAN EXPECT CHALLENGES IN THE FORM

OF "PETITIONS TO DENY" AT RENEWAL TIME. YOUR

BEST PROTECTION AGAINST THESE CHALLENGES IS

A SINCERE EFFORT AT ASCERTAINING THE PUBLIC

SERVICE NEEDS OF YOUR COMMUNITY AND PROGRAMMING YOUR STATION, TO THE BEST OF YOUR
ABILITY, TO MEET THOSE NEEDS.

I WANT TO APPLAUD YOUR EFFORTS IN ENCOURAGING THE BEST EFFORTS OF FM BROAD-CASTERS TO PROVIDE SUPERIOR SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC. I APPLAUD THE ARMSTRONG MEMORIAL RESEARCH FOUNDATION AWARDS PROGRAM WHICH ENCOURAGES EXCELLENCE IN NEWS, COMMUNITY SERVICE, EDUCATION AND MUSIC. AND, I'M PLEASED TO NOTE THAT MANY STATIONS ARE COMPETING FOR HE HONORS TO BE BESTOWED HERE TODAY.

NOW, I HOPE THAT MY LECTURE ABOUT

PUBLIC SERVICE WASN'T CONSIDERED NEGATIVE OR THREATENING. I JUST WANTED TO PASS ALONG SOME FRIENDLY WORDS OF ADVICE FROM A FORMER BROADCASTER WHO HAS DEALT WITH THE PROBLEMS YOU'RE DEALING WITH. I'VE BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT SALES AND HAVE COEXISTED WITH SALESMEN .. AND MY RESPONSIBILITIES ALSO BROUGHT ME IN CONTACT WITH ENGINEERS AND THEIR RESPONSIBILI-MY OWN BACKGROUND WAS PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND TIES. PROGRAMMING AND I BELIEVE I UNDERSTAND THOSE AREAS BEST. AS A GENERAL MANAGER, OF COURSE, IT'S NECESSARY TO HAVE SOME GRASP OF ALL OF THOSE ELEMENTS AND MORE. I MUST ADMIT THAT THE TECHNICAL SIDE OF BROADCASTING ISN'T MY

STRONGEST POINT AND I'VE RELIED UPON SOME PRETTY GOOD ENGINEERS IN MY BROADCASTING DAYS. YOU MAY HAVE HEARD THAT AN ENGINEER IS A PERSON WHO KNOWS A GREAT DEAL ABOUT VERY LITTLE, AND WHO GOES ALONG LEARNING MORE AND MORE ABOUT LESS AND LESS UNTIL FINALLY HE KNOWS PRACTICALLY EVERYTHING ABOUT NOTHING. A SALEMAN, ON THE OTHER HAND, IS A PERSON WHO KNOWS VERY LITTLE ABOUT MANY THINGS AND KEEPS LEARNING LESS AND LESS ABOUT MORE AND MORE. UNTIL HE KNOWS PRACTI-CALLY NOTHING ABOUT EVERYTHING. OF COURSE, A STATION MANAGER STARTS OUT KNOWING EVERY-THING ABOUT EVERYTHING, BUT ENDS UP KNOWING NOTHING ABOUT ANYTHING, BECAUSE OF HIS

ASSOCIATION WITH ENGINEERS AND SALEMEN.

I KNOW THAT YOU HAVE AN INTEREST IN THE ALL-CHANNEL RECEIVER BILL NOW BEFORE THE CONGRESS. AS YOU KNOW, CHAIRMAN WILEY WENT BEFORE THE SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATIONS BACK IN APRIL TO VOICE SUPPORT FOR THE BILL. THE SENATE BILL HAS BEEN PASSED AND THE HOUSE BILL HAS CLEARED THE COMMITTEE ALTHOUGH THERE HAS BEEN NO MOVE, AS YET, TO BRING IT TO THE FLOOR FOR ACTION. THE HOUSE BILL WAS AMENDED IN COMMITTEE TO APPLY ONLY TO AUTO RADIOS INSTALLED BEFORE THE CAR IS SOLD AND REQUIRES THAT REGULATION BE IM-PLEMENTED THROUGH FCC RULEMAKING, WHICH

MEANS IT COULD BE DELAYED FOR AWHILE SINCE

THE RULEMAKING PROCESS IS SOMEWHAT TIME

CONSUMING. IT'S NOT LIKELY THE ALL-CHANNEL

MATTER WILL BE RESOLVED BEFORE CONGRESSIONAL

AJOURNMENT. BUT IT IS A LIVE ISSUE AND WILL NO

DOUBE BE CONSIDERED WHEN CONGRESS RECONVENES.

I KNOW THAT RADIO BROADCASTERS ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THE REGULATORY CLIMATE THESE PAYS...AS THEY ALWAYS HAVE BEEN.

I SUSPECT YOUR CONCERNS NOW CENTER
ON SUCH THINGS AS THE COMMISSION ATTITUDE
REGARDING COMMON OWNERSHIP OF AM AND FM
FACILITIES IN THE SAME MARKET. AND, AM-FM
PROGRAM DUPLICATION RULES. I CAN'T TELL YOU

WHETHER THE COMMISSION WILL ULTIMATELY TAKE A STAND ON THOSE ISSUES OR, IF SO, WHERE THAT STAND MIGHT BE. I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE COMMON OWNERSHIP QUESTION REMAINS ON THE "BACK BURNER" PENDING THE OUTCOME OF THE NON-DUPLICATION PROCEEDING. BEFORE I ARRIVED AT THE COMMISSION, THE THINKING SEEMED TO HAVE COME AROUND TO CONSIDERING DIVESTITURE OF AM-FM COMBINATIONS TOO HARSH. THE REASONING THEN. AS I UNDERSTAND IT. WAS THAT THE COMMIS-SION'S POLICY GOAL OF ENCOURAGING DIVERSITY COULD PERHAPS BEST BE CARRIED OUT BY REQUIRING MORE SEPARATE PROGRAMMING. INSTEAD OF RE-QUIRING 50% NON-DUPLICATION IN MARKETS OF ONE-HUNDRED-THOUSAND AND OVER AND HAVING NO

REQUIREMENT IN MARKETS UNDER ONE HUNDREDTHOUSAND, THE COMMISSION FELT IT SHOULD CONSIDER
WHETHER MORE THAN 50% NON-DUPLICATION SHOULD BE
CONSIDERED AND WHETHER THE SMALLER MARKETS
SHOULD HAVE A NON-DUPLICATION REQUIREMENT.
THAT'S WHERE THE MATTER NOW STANDS. THERE
HAVE BEEN COMMENTS AND REPLY-COMMENTS AND
THE MATTER WILL BE COMING BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR ACTION SOMETIME IN THE NEAR FUTURE.

THE MATTER OF FILING FEES IS ALSO

UNDER CONSIDERATION. THE DATES FOR COMMENTS

AND REPLY-COMMENTS HAVE BEEN EXTENDED TO

OCTOBER 21st AND NOVEMBER 4th, RESPECTIVELY, SO

THERE'S STILL TIME TO GET YOUR VIEWS BEFORE

THE COMMISSION. AS YOU KNOW, THE COMMISSION

ATTEMPTED TO RECOVER ALL OF ITS ANNUAL BUDGET THROUGH FEES AFTER HAVING BEEN EN-COURAGED TO DO SO BY CONGRESS AND THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. BUT, THE SUPREME COURT SAID WE COULDN'T DO THAT AND MUST, INSTEAD. SHOW SOME REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE FEES CHARGED AND THE VALUE TO THE RECIPIENT OF THE SERVICE PERFORMED. WE'RE NOW TRYING TO DETERMINE THAT REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP AND WE ENCOURAGE YOUR PARTICIPA-TION.

I UNDERSTAND THIS GROUP CONSISTS OF

BOTH AM AND FM BROADCASTERS AND I KNOW THAT

YOU BOTH HAVE MANY CONCERNS IN COMMON. AS

A MATTER OF FACT, ONE CONCERN WE ALL HAVE IN

COMMON IS THE STATE OF THE ECONOMY. I'M NOT SURE WHETHER "STAGFLATION" IS THE TERM THAT COVERS THE SITUATION BUY I DO KNOW THAT WHEN MY WIFE GOES GROCERY SHOPPING. I REALIZE THAT INFLATION HAS A STRONG HOLD ON ALL OF US. AND. MY EXPERIENCE WITH THE STOCK MARKET MAY INDICATE MORE RECESSION THAN STACNATION AS THE DOW JONES KEEPS MOVING IN THE WRONG DIRECTION. RECESSION, OF COURSE, CAN BE DEFINED AS A SITUATION WHERE YOUR NEIGHBOR LOSES HIS JOB. IF THINGS DETERIORATE TO THE POINT WHERE YOU LOSE YOUR JOB, THAT'S A DEPRESSION A PANIC IS WHEN YOUR WIFE LOSES HER JOB.

RADIO- DESPITE SOME EARLY PRE-DICTIONS OF GLOOM AND DOOM -- CONTINUES TO BE A VITAL COMMUNICATIONS MEDIUM IN THIS NATION AND THIS VITALITY SHOULD CONTINUE TO GROW. SPECIALIZED MARKETS ARE DEVELOPING AND WIDER VARIETY OF LISTENING FARE IS APPEALING TO MORE AND MORE PEOPLE. I AM A LITTLE PRONE TO NOSTALGIC RECOLLECTIONS OF MY MANY YEARS IN BROADCASTING AND, BELIEVE ME, THERE ARE MANY TIMES WHEN DECISIONS ARE NOT CLEAR-CUT AND PRESSURES ARE BUILDING ON ALL SIDES OF A QUESTION, THAT I LONG FOR THE "GOOD OLD DAYS" AGAIN. STILL, I'M GRATEFUL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY OF SERVING

ON THE COMMISSION AND OF VIEWING THE WIDE

AREA OF COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE POSITION

OF THE REGULATOR. YOU MIGHT BENEFIT FROM

SOME OBSERVATIONS ABOUT THE COMMISSION

FROM THE INSIDE FROM A FORMER BROAD
CASTER WHO SPENT MANY YEARS DEALING WITH

THE COMMISSION FROM THE OUTSIDE.

FIRST, YOU SHOULD KNOW THAT THE
BROADCAST BUREAU IS STAFFED BY COMPETENT
PEOPLE WHO UNDERSTAND BROADCASTING. THEY
UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEMS YOU FACE...THEY
COMPREHEND THE NEED TO MAKE A PROFIT...
AND THEY REALIZE THAT MISTAKES ARE MADE.
I'VE OBSERVED THAT THE BUREAU GOES TO
GREAT LENGTHS TO OPERATE WITHIN THE SPIRIT

OF THE REGULATORY CLIMATE WITHOUT PLACING UNDUE BURDENS UPON THE RESPONSIVE BROAD-CASTER WHO MIGHT HAVE STRAYED FROM THE PATH OF RIGHTEOUSNESS INADVERTENTLY. DELIBERATE DISREGARD OF THE RULES OR MISREPRESENTA-TION, HOWEVER, IS QUITE ANOTHER MATTER. THEREFORE, I WOULD ADVISE ANY BROADCASTER WHO HAS ERRED TO QUICKLY BRING THE MATTER TO THE COMMISSION'S ATTENTION AND MOVE TO CORRECT THE SITUATION WHICH BROUGHT ABOUT THE ERROR. I KNOW YOU'LL FIND THE BUREAU HELPFUL AND SINCERELY INTERESTED IN HELPING YOU TO COMPLY WITH THE RULES.

ANOTHER HELPFUL HINT FROM A FORMER BROADCASTER: DON'T PROMISE MORE THAN YOU

CAN DELIVER. OCCASIONALLY, WE SEE RENEWAL APPLICATIONS WHERE THE BROADCASTER HAS FALLEN FAR SHORT OF PROMISED PERFORMANCE IN NEWS, PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND OTHER CATEGORIES. OFTEN, THIS SITUATION RESULTS FROM A PROMISE WHICH WAS UNREALISTICALLY HIGH IN THE FIRST PLACE. I'M CERTAINLY NOT SUGGESTING THAT YOU MINIMIZE YOUR NEWS AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS OBLIGATION, BUT A REALISTIC APPRAISAL OF YOUR CAPABILITIES -- GIVEN FORMAT CONSIDERATIONS --BEFOREHAND CAN SAVE YOU CONSIDERABLE GRIEF LATER ON WHEN IT COMES TIME TO MAKE GOOD ON YOUR PROMISE.

THE THREAT OF A PETITION TO DENY AT RENEWAL TIME CAN CAUSE GREAT APPREHENSION

FOR A BROADCASTER...EVEN ONE WHO-HAS MADE A SINCERE EFFORT, OVER THE TERM OF HIS LICENSE, TO SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST. AS YOU KNOW, THE COMMISSION'S POLICY ENCOURAGES THE BROADCASTER AND GROUPS WITHIN THE COM-MUNITY HE SERVES TO GET TOGETHER AND WORK OUT THEIR DIFFERENCES BEFORE THE MATTER COMES BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR RESOLUTION. I BELIEVE THAT POLICY IS A GOOD ONE. HOWEVER, THERE HAVE BEEN SOME INSTANCES WHERE BROADCASTERS HAVE ABDICATED THEIR RESPONSI-BILITIES IN REACHING AGREEMENTS WITH LOCAL GROUPS. THE COMMISSION HAS LONG INSISTED THAT THE LICENSEE -- AND THE LICENSEE ALONE --EXERCISE FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR PROGRAMMING

TO SERVE THE NEEDS AND INTERESTS OF THE COMMUNITY. AFTER ALL, THE ASCER-TAINMENT PROCESS PROVIDES HIM WITH THE INFORMATION HT NEEDS TO MAKE SOUND JUDGMENTS AS TO WHAT THOSE NEEDS ARE. THERE HAVE BEEN SOME INSTANCES, HOWEVER, WHERE IMPORTANT PROGRAMMING DECISIONS HAVE BEEN CONTAINED IN AGREEMENTS WITH SO-CALLED CONSUMER INTEREST GROUPS IN AN OBVIOUS ATTEMPT BY THE LICENSEE TO BUY PEACE AT ANY PRICE. WHERE IT IS EVIDENT THAT THESE AGREEMENTS AFFECTING PROGRAMMING HAVE BEEN EXACTED UNDER THE THREAT OF PETITIONS TO DENY, I BELIEVE THE BROADCASTER MUST BE HELD TO ACCOUNT. HE IS LICENSED TO SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AFTER ALL, AND TO DETERMINE THAT PUBLIC INTEREST

THROUGH A PRESCRIBED ASCERTAINMENT PRO-CESS. IN THOSE VERY FEW CASES WHERE THE LICENSEE APPEARS TO DISREGARD THE NEEDS AND INTERESTS OF THE MAJORITY OF HIS AUDIENCE TO ACCOMMODATE THE DESIRES OF A RELATIVELY FEW, I, FOR ONE, QUESTION HIS ABILITY TO CONTINUE TO SERVE THE PUBLIC INTEREST, CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY. TO SUBSTITUTE THE SPECIAL-INTEREST PROGRAMMING DESIRES OF A LOCAL GROUP FOR THOSE OF THE LICENSEE, IT SEEMS TO ME, IS CONTRARY TO LAW, TO POLICY AND TO COMMON SENSE.

THE GROWTH OF FM OVER THE PAST FEW
YEARS HAS BEEN RAPID AND THAT GROWTH IS CONTINUING. BACK IN 1966, TOTAL REVENUES FROM

COMMERCIAL FM STATIONS AMOUNTED TO JUST OVER 32-MILLION DOLLARS: IN 1972, THE LAST YEAR FOR WHICH FIGURES ARE AVAILABLE, TOTAL REVENUES REACHED NEARLY 152-MILLION DOLLARS. THE NUMBER OF STATIONS ON THE AIR HAS IN-CREASED DRAMATICALLY DURING THE SAME PERIOD AND MORE AND MORE OF THEM ARE SHOWING A PROFIT. AND, THE STAFF TELLS ME THAT THE RATE OF NEW APPLICATIONS IS ABOUT TWICE WHAT IT WAS ONLY A FEW YEARS AGO. WHILE THE PICTURE IS GENERALLY ROSY, HOWEVER, THERE IS A PROBLEM FOR A NEW OPERATOR WHO APPLIES FOR A CONSTRUCTION PERMIT. THERE ARE SEVERAL STATES WHERE THE ALLOCATION TABLES HAVE BEEN USED UP

AND WHERE, UNDER PRESENT RULES, ITS IMPOSSIBLE TO CONSTRUCT A NEW CLASS B OR C STATION. LIKE AIR AND WATER AND OTHER RESOURCES, THE FREQUENCY SPECTRUM IS NOT LIMITLESS AND THE COMMISSION IS INCREASING ITS EFFORTS TO MAKE THE MOST EFFICIENT USE OF THE SPECTRUM. I HOPE, IN THE MONTHS AND YEARS JUST AHEAD, THAT WE'LL BE ABLE TO EFFECTIVELY MANAGE THIS DWINDLING RESOURCE TO PROVIDE THE GREATEST BENEFIT TO THE LARGEST NUMBER OF PEOPLE.

MY GOAL, AS A COMMISSIONER, IS TO PROVIDE ONLY THAT REGULATORY FUNCTION THAT IS NECESSARY, TO

REGULATE IN A STRAIGHFORWARD, EVEN-HANDED MANNER. THAT TALKS A LOT EASIER THAN IT IMPLEMENTS BECAUSE MANY OF MY DECISIONS ARE COMPROMISES BETWEEN MANY COMPETING INTERESTS AND, OFTEN, THEY SEEM EQUALLY MERITORIOUS. SOMETIMES IT SEEMS THAT THE ONLY POSSIBLE COURSE IS TO LISTEN CAREFULLY TO ALL OF THE ARGUMENTS, STUDY THE ISSUES IN GREAT DETAIL DELVING DEEPLY INTO THE MERITS AND THEN, WHEN ITS TIME TO VOTE, DEVELOP SOME TEMPORARY MALADY THAT KEEPS YOU HOME IN BED.

I'VE ENJOYED THE OPPORTUNITY OF

BEING WITH YOU TODAY IN THIS CHARMING CITY.

I ALWAYS ENJOY BEING AMONG BROADCASTERS.

A GOOD BRIEND OF MINE, WHO'S ALSO A BROADCASTER, TOLD ME--WHEN HE LEARNED THAT I WAS INTERESTED IN THE COMMISSION --THAT I MAY BE AN S.O.B., BUT HE COULD SUPPORT ME BECAUSE HE COULD IDENTIFY WITH ME. I HOPE THAT YOU'LL BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY WITH ME AND THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION AND I KNOW THAT WE CAN COUNT ON YOUR COOPERATION AS WE MOVE TO CARRY OUT THIS CHALLENGING AND DIFFICULT ASSIGNMENT.

THANK YOU.